

Media Relations publicinfo@lacourt.org

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: June 12, 2020

PRESIDING JUDGE KEVIN C. BRAZILE ISSUES GENERAL ORDER OUTLINING SOCIAL DISTANCING REQUIREMENTS AS COURT GRADUALLY EXPANDS OPERATIONS EFFECTIVE JUNE 22

<u>Probate, Mental Health, Juvenile Dependency & Delinquency and Certain Civil</u> <u>Courtrooms will Resume Operations With the Help of Remote Courtroom Appearances</u>

Trials Continued Under Terms of the Order in Effect Through July 9, 2020

Presiding Judge Kevin C. Brazile announced today he has received approval from Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye to issue a new Order on a phased expansion of court operations and social distancing requirements pursuant to the emergency powers granted to him under Government Code 68115. Under today's Order, access to courthouses is restricted at all times to judicial officers, court employees, co-lessees, Judicial Council staff, vendors, authorized persons (which includes news reporters and media representatives), attorneys, litigants and witnesses with matters on calendar or individuals with confirmed appointments.

"As we go deeper into the phased recovery allowed by state and county public health officials, the Court has a gradual approach to assure safe access to justice in the nation's largest trial court," Presiding Judge Brazile said. "We are going to take this COVID-19 recovery slowly and carefully with the help of remote courtroom technology, mandatory use of masks/facial coverings, social distancing protocols and barriers, enhanced

-MORE-

GENERAL ORDER 2-2-2-2

cleaning, hand sanitizer and disinfecting wipes, and signs to direct visitors where to stand. We are doing all we can to re-open our doors safely to restore access to justice in Los Angeles County."

In addition to time-sensitive and essential functions listed in the Order, Court operations will be expanded gradually as follows:

June 22, 2020:

- Probate courtrooms will resume expanded operations and conduct proceedings remotely using LACourtConnect (LACC), the Court's new audio and video remote courtroom appearance technology.
- Mental Health courtrooms will resume full operations at the Hollywood Courthouse. The courtroom hearing proceedings pursuant to the Lanterman Petris Short Act (LPS) will resume operations at the Metropolitan Courthouse. Riese hearings and hospital hearings will be conducted remotely by video.
- **Juvenile Dependency** courtrooms will resume full operations. The Court will prioritize adjudications and dispositions involving children who are detained, and continue to conduct remote appearances via Webex.
- **Juvenile Delinquency** courtrooms will resume full operations. Priority will be given to arraignments, adjudication, disposition and Welfare and Institutions Code section 777 hearings for detained minors, competency hearings, transfer hearings, warrants and emergency health and safety matters. Remote appearances will continue via Webex.
- Civil courtrooms, except for courtrooms hearing Small Claims and Collections matters, will expand operations to include case management conferences, Law and Motion and informal conferences, which may be conducted remotely via CourtCall until after LACC is more widely available on July 6, and thereafter, for remote appearances.
- Settlement courts will resume mandatory settlement conferences and will

conduct them remotely using LACC.

- Writs and Receivers courtrooms will resume full operations.
- **Complex Civil and Personal Injury** courtrooms located at the Spring Street Courthouse will resume full operations and conduct remote hearings and proceedings via CourtCall until LACC is available on July 6 and onwards.
- **Family Law** courtrooms will resume proceedings in a gradual, phased-in fashion, based on the Division's uniform four-category triaging plan for matters and exparte applications. Proceedings are initially limited to Restraining Orders, post-arraignment contempt and Family Code 3130 matters.

June 25, 2020:

• Appellate Division will resume full operations and hold oral arguments.

June 29, 2020:

• **Unlawful Detainer** courtrooms may resume Law and Motion and ex-parte applications.

July 6, 2020:

• The **Criminal Division** will commence a phased expansion of operations and expand the use of remote proceedings via Webex.

Under the Order:

- Proceedings shall be limited to the judicial officer presiding, court personnel, parties, counsel, witnesses and however many members of the public, including the news media, as can be accommodated in the designated courtroom while enforcing social distancing.
- The determination of courtroom capacity is made by the presiding judicial officer based on social distancing measurements.

GENERAL ORDER 4-4-4-4

- To enforce social distancing, each courtroom resuming full operations shall schedule only the number of matters during each session that will comply with social distancing requirements.
- Parties and counsel are strongly urged and encouraged to make use of technology for remote appearances, and to exchange and stipulate to the authenticity of documentary evidence.

To prepare for the June 22 phased reopening of courtrooms and resumption of hearings and court operations, the Clerk's Office — which has been closed since March 23 — will reopen on June 15. <u>In-person service at the Clerk's Office and Self-Help Centers will</u> <u>require an appointment</u>. Call centers at each courthouse will be available to reserve appointments for in-person service and get answers to questions.

To enforce social distancing and further reduce foot traffic, the Court has placed drop boxes outside courthouses for filings; established a virtual Clerk's Office; enhanced virtual services to self-help litigants; facilitated remote proceedings in Criminal courtrooms; implemented remote arraignments from various law enforcement stations; and developed LACC, a remote audio and video courtroom appearance technology.

The Court is reopening under its *Here For You* | *Safe For You* initiative to provide a safe courthouse environment while offering services that allow court business to be conducted remotely. Whether appearing by phone, from home, the office or coming to the courthouse, the Court provides safe, efficient options to access justice. The Court's remote technology options promote social distancing by reducing the number of people appearing in person.

Information on *Here For You* | *Safe For You* can be found here and Twitter page (@LASuperiorCourt).

FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

JUN 1 1 2020

Sherri R_Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk Rv Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE RE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

GENERAL ORDER 2020-GEN-018-00

12 The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted every aspect of life in the United States over the past 13 months. In response, national, state and local elected officials declared states of emergency within 14 their respective jurisdictions. To slow and limit the transmission of this novel coronavirus, public 15 health authorities issued orders requiring individuals to remain in their homes (Safe at Home Orders).

Governor Gavin Newsom, in collaboration with local elected officials and public health
authorities, seeks to relax the restrictions imposed on personal movement while not compromising
public health. California's judicial system has grappled with how to fulfill trial courts' statutory and
constitutional duties while safeguarding the well-being of court users, justice partners, jurors, judicial
officers and court personnel.

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Superior Court of California, County of Los
Angeles (Court or LASC), sought and obtained authority to issue judicial emergency orders continuing
certain cases. I implemented that authority through a series of General Orders limiting the types of
matters the Court would handle during the state of emergency and limiting the number of persons
coming to the courthouse to those with essential, time-sensitive and emergent matters.

To enforce social distancing and further reduce foot traffic at the Court, LASC installed drop
boxes for filings, established a virtual Clerk's Office, enhanced virtual services for Self-Help litigants,
facilitated remote proceedings in criminal courtrooms, juvenile dependency courtrooms and in

juvenile delinquency courtrooms, implemented remote arraignments from various law enforcement stations, authorized telework for the majority of its workforce, marked public spaces to guide court users to remain at least six (6) feet away from others, and installed physical barriers at public counters and in courtrooms.

The Court also has implemented an enhanced sanitation regimen using EPA-approved products and increased cleaning schedules, installed sanitizer dispensers in high-traffic areas, distributed hand sanitizer and sanitizing wipes to employees and required all persons to use masks/facial coverings while in public areas of the courthouse.

In carrying out these mitigation strategies, the Court has been guided by the dynamic guidance from public health authorities about how best to limit novel coronavirus contagion. Its efforts have been hampered by procurement challenges caused by scarcity, and the threat of a significant budget cut.

Some judicial proceedings involve constitutional concerns which foreclose the options available to the Court. Other judicial proceedings are so intimately involved with the safety and welfare of particularly vulnerable populations that, likewise, certain options available to the Court are foreclosed. Furthermore, health concerns among court users, justice partners, jurors, judicial officers and court personnel limit the number of persons available to perform the functions necessary to adjudicate cases. Moreover, the design of court spaces does not facilitate social distancing. This is particularly challenging in courtrooms handling high-volume matters, like traffic, small claims, restraining orders and unlawful detainers or in small courtrooms. While the Court has vigorously implemented technology to facilitate remote proceedings, many counsel and parties are not yet willing to forego in-person hearings. All of these considerations inform the Court's decision about resuming operations.

ACCORDINGLY, THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Courthouse Access & Remote Appearances:

a. Access to LASC courthouses is restricted at all times to judicial officers, court staff, co-lessees, Judicial Council staff, vendors, jurors, authorized persons

(which includes news reporters and news media representatives), attorneys, litigants and witnesses with matters on calendars or individuals with confirmed appointments.

- b. Access to LASC proceedings shall be limited to the judicial officer presiding,
 Court personnel, parties, counsel, witnesses, and those members of the public (including news reporters and news media representatives) as can be accommodated in the designated courtroom while enforcing social distancing.
 The determination of courtroom capacity is made by the judge presiding therein.
- c. In furtherance of Executive Order N-33-20, paragraph 4, subpart (b), the Court orders all parties who use e-filing to accept electronic service, except in those circumstances when personal service is required by law or where any of the parties are self-represented, as required by the Emergency California Rules of Court, Rule 12.
- d. To enforce social distancing, each court resuming full operations shall schedule only the number of matters during each session that will comply with social distancing requirements.
- e. Parties and counsel are urged to make use of technology for remote appearances, via LACourtConnect (LACC), CourtCall or Webex, and to exchange and stipulate to the authenticity of documentary evidence.

f. Judicial officers retain the discretion to require the use of technology for remote appearances for the duration of this general order.

 Expanded Court Operations – in addition to the time-sensitive and essential functions enumerated in section 3 below, the Court is expanding its operations as follows:

a. Effective June 22, 2020:

- i. **Probate** courtrooms will resume expanded operations and conduct proceedings remotely with LACC.
- ii. Mental Health courtrooms will resume full operations at the Hollywood

Courthouse. The courtroom hearing proceedings pursuant to the Lanterman-Petris-Short (LPS) Act will resume operations at the Metropolitan Courthouse. Riese hearings and hospital hearings will be conducted remotely by video.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- iii. Juvenile Dependency courtrooms will resume full operations. The Court will prioritize adjudications and dispositions involving children who are detained and continue to conduct remote appearances via Webex.
- iv. Juvenile Delinquency courtrooms will resume full operations. Priority will be given to arraignments, adjudication, disposition and Welfare and Institutions Code section 777 hearings for detained minors, competency hearings, transfer hearings, warrants and emergency health and safety matters. Remote appearances will continue to be conducted via Webex.
- v. **Civil** courtrooms, with the exception of courtrooms hearing **Small Claims** and **Collections** matters, will expand operations to include case management conferences, law and motion, and informal conferences, which may be conducted remotely via CourtCall until LACC is more widely available on July 6th, and thereafter, for remote appearances.
 - 1. **Settlement Courts** will resume mandatory settlement conferences and will conduct them remotely with LACC.

2. Writs and Receivers courtrooms will resume full operations.

- 3. **Complex Civil and Personal Injury Courts** located at Spring Street, will resume full operations on June 22, 2020, and conduct remote hearings and proceedings via CourtCall until LACC is available on July 6, 2020 onwards.
- vi. **Family Law** courtrooms will resume proceedings in a gradual, phased-in fashion, based upon the Division's uniform four-category triaging plan for matters and ex parte applications. Proceedings are initially limited to restraining orders, post-arraignment contempt and Family Code section

3130 matters.

2	vii. Appellate Division will resume full operations and hold oral arguments		
3	starting on June 25, 2020. Proceedings may also be held remotely via		
4	Webex.		
5	b. Effective June 29, 2020, courtrooms handling Unlawful Detainer cases may		
6	resume law and motion and ex parte applications. Pursuant to Code of Civil		
7	Procedure section 166(a)(1), no oral argument will be entertained on an ex parte		
8	application for relief.		
9	c. Effective July 6, 2020, the Criminal Division will commence a phased		
10	expansion of operations and increase the use of remote proceedings via Webex.		
11			
12	3. Continued Essential Operations: except as otherwise noted above, from June 11, 2020		
13	to July 9, 2020, inclusive, the Court will remain closed for judicial business other than		
14	for the following time-sensitive, essential functions:		
15	a. Civil Temporary Restraining Orders		
16	b. Family Temporary Restraining Orders		
17	c. Civil Ex Parte Proceedings, including without limitation, Emergency Minor's		
18	Compromise Proceedings ¹		
19	d. Family Ex Parte Proceedings		
20	e. Hague Convention (International Kidnapping)		
21	f. Probate Ex Parte Proceedings		
22	g. Probate Emergency Petitions for Temporary Conservatorship		
23	h. Probate Emergency Petitions for Temporary Guardianship		
24	i. Riese Hearings		
25	j. Search Warrants		
26			
27	¹ From June 11, 2020 until July 9, 2020, all parties may appear telephonically in Civil ex parte matters. Opposition paper for any electronically-filed by $8:00 \text{ nm}$, the day before the hearing on the		

¹ From June 11, 2020 until July 9, 2020, all parties may appear telephonically in Civil ex parte matters. Opposition papers for any electronically-filed ex parte application must be electronically filed by 8:00 p.m. the day <u>before</u> the hearing on the ex parte application, unless the party opposing the ex parte application is a self-represented litigant or otherwise exempt from mandatory electronic filing pursuant to the operative General Order re Mandatory Electronic Filing in Civil.

1	k.	Arraignments
2	l.	Criminal Preliminary Hearings
3	m.	Criminal Ex Parte Proceedings
4	n.	Bail Bond and Cash Bail Processing
5	0.	Bail Review
6	<u>p</u> .	Criminal Mental Competency Hearings
7	q.	Criminal Sentencing Proceedings
8	r.	Criminal Post-Sentencing Proceedings
9	s.	Grand Jury Indictments
10	t.	Juvenile Ex Parte Proceedings
11	u.	Emergency Orders Relating to the Health and Safety of a Child
12	v.	Juvenile Restraining Orders
13	w.	Juvenile Delinquency Detention Hearings and related case processing
14	x.	Juvenile Dependency Detention Hearings and related case processing
15	у.	Petitions for Writ Seeking Emergency Relief in Misdemeanor, Limited Civil and
16		Infractions
17	Z.	Emergency Writs Challenging COVID-19 Emergency Measures
18	aa.	Writs of Habeas Corpus Challenging Medical Quarantines
19	bb.	Emergency Protective Orders
20	cc.	Proceedings under the Lanterman-Petris-Short (LPS) Act
21	dd.	Judicial Commitments for Dangerous Persons based on Mental Health Conditions
22	ee.	Parole and Post-Release Community Supervision Revocation Hearings
23	ff.	Juvenile Delinquency Adjudications and Dispositions, and related case processing
24	gg.	Juvenile Petitions pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 388e
25	hh.	Expedited Petitions to Approve Compromise of Disputed Claim or Pending Action
26		or Disposition of Proceeds of Judgment for Minor or Person with a Disability
27		Pursuant to Rule 7.950.5 of the Cal. Rules of Court, if no hearing is required.
28		

Writ proceedings under Welfare and Institutions Code sections 4800-4801 seeking release of persons judicially committed to a state hospital, development center, or other facility.

4. Continuances:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a. <u>Criminal:</u>

- i. Pursuant to the March 30, 2020 Statewide Emergency Order by Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, Chief Justice of California and Chair of the Judicial Council, the June 10, 2020 Statewide Emergency Order by Chief Justice Cantil-Sakauye, and the authority provided by the June 10, 2020 order issued by Chief Justice under Government Code section 68115, the Court extends the time period provided under section 825 of the Penal Code within which a defendant charged with a felony offense must be taken before a magistrate from 48 hours to not more than seven (7) days, applicable only to cases in which the statutory deadline otherwise would expire from June 20, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive.
- ii. The Court extends the time period provided in section 1382 of the Penal Code for the holding of a criminal trial by not more than 30 days, applicable only to cases in which the original or previously extended statutory deadline otherwise would expire from June 15, 2020 to August 17, 2020, inclusive.
- iii. The Court extends by 90 court days the time to submit status reports and progress reports for defendants for whom a status report or progress report was due from June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive. The Court shall provide notice of when the new proceeding will be held.
- iv. The Court extends by 90 court days, unless statutorily required otherwise, the time to hold misdemeanor post-arraignment proceedings in which the defendant is out of custody that would otherwise be set from June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive.

- v. Select Criminal courtrooms will resume or initiate Early Disposition and/or Late Disposition proceedings, commencing on June 15, 2020, and until further notice.
- b. Juvenile Dependency and Juvenile Delinquency:

- i. The Court extends the time periods provided in section 313 of the Welfare and Institutions Code within which a minor taken into custody pending dependency proceedings must be released from custody to not more than seven (7) days, applicable only to minors for whom the statutory deadline would otherwise expire from June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive.
- ii. The Court extends the time periods provided in section 315 of the Welfare and Institutions Code within which a minor taken into custody pending dependency proceedings must be given a detention hearing to not more than seven (7) days, applicable only to minors for whom the statutory deadline would otherwise expire from June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive.
- iii. The Court extends the time periods provided in sections 632 and 637 of the Welfare and Institutions Code within which a minor taken into custody pending wardship proceedings and charged with a felony offense must be given a detention hearing or rehearing to not more than seven (7) days, applicable only to minors for whom the statutory deadline would otherwise expire from June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive.
- iv. The Court extends the time period provided in section 334 of the Welfare and Institutions Code within which a hearing on a juvenile dependency petition must be held by not more than fifteen (15) days, applicable only to minors for whom the statutory deadline would otherwise expire from June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive.
- v. The Court extends the time period provided in section 657 of the Welfare and Institutions Code within which a hearing on a wardship petition for a minor charged with a felony offense must be held by not more than **fifteen**

1	(15) days, applicable only to minors for whom the statutory deadline
2	otherwise would expire from June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive.
3	c. <u>Unlawful Detainer:</u>
4	The Court deems June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive, a holiday/holidays
5	for purposes of computing time under Code of Civil Procedure section 1167.
6	The Court finds good cause to continue all unlawful detainer trials without a
7	determination pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1170.5(c).
8	d. Small Claims:
9	The Court deems June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, inclusive, a holiday/holidays
10	for purposes of computing the time under Code of Civil Procedure section
11	116.330(a) (requires a small claims matter to be scheduled for hearing no earlier
12	than 20 days but not more than 70 days from the date of the order directing the
13	parties to appear at the hearing).
14	e. <u>Traffic and Infractions:</u>
15	All traffic and infraction arraignments and trials scheduled from June 11, 2020
16	to July 9, 2020, inclusive, are continued. The parties shall receive notice of the
17	date on which the hearing shall be set.
18	f. <u>Trials:</u>
19	i. All non-jury trials, unless statutorily required otherwise, including in Civil,
20	Criminal, Family Law, Probate, and Traffic, scheduled from June 11, 2020
21	to July 9, 2020, inclusive, are continued until further notice. All pre-trial
22	dates for trials that are continued pursuant to paragraph (f) also are
23	continued consistent with the new trial date.
24	ii. All Civil jury trials, including Unlawful Detainer trials, scheduled from
25	June 11, 2020 to July 9, 2020, are continued. The parties shall be notified
26	of the continued trial date by the court. All pre-trial dates for trials continued
27	pursuant to this paragraph also are continued consistent with the new trial
28	date.
	9

THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY AND WILL REMAIN IN EFFECT UNTIL JULY 9, 2020, AND MAY BE AMENDED AS CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRE. GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 11, 2020



BRAZILE BRAZILE Presiding Judge